

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/781,354	DICKEN, VOLKER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628	

### ***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

### All Participants:

**Status of Application: *Allowed***

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Loren Pearson (#42,987).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_,

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2009

Time: 5PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

#### Claims discussed:

#### Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to put case in condition for allowance and applicant agreed to the proposed changes.*

### Part III

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/XIAO M. WU/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)